

Tim Page: The very edge of the brightest light

We live in a world saturated by images. Photographs crowd our screens and timelines, and news of conflict and suffering is rarely engaged with past a swipe. As Robert Hariman and John Louis Lucaites suggest, such images help to “define the public through an act of common spectatorship”.¹ Yet, despite this constant exposure, many images of war barely seem to touch us. They blur into one another, producing information and exhaustion in equal measure. In this context, the work of Tim Page OAM (1944–2022) does something different. His archive does not simply add to the flood of war imagery; it subtly resists the conditions under which such images are usually seen.

Tim Page: The very edge of the brightest light begins where most representations of war end. Rather than reaffirming Page as the legendary Vietnam War photographer of popular mythology, this exhibition foregrounds a practice concerned with the visibility of everyday life in conditions shaped by violence. Page's photographs remind us that to witness is not the same as to document, and that seeing is not the same as understanding. The photographs and ephemera gathered here invite viewers to slow down and to consider what their witnessing does in the world.

In this light, Page's archive rarely centres on the decisive moment of combat. Instead, we see children playing, moments in refugee camps, shots of clinics and prosthetics, glimpses of religious rituals, and moments of prayer. Small pockets of daily life recur again and again. Rather than being distilled into dramatic moments of violence, war is shown as a condition that settles into bodies, routines, and landscapes.

These photographs reveal the routinised and often overlooked dimensions of war's aftermath and the slow labour of living with injury, displacement, and ongoing precarity. After the news cycle shifted elsewhere, Page returned to these sites of continuation. Instead of seeking sensationalism, Page's anti-war position emerges from an attention to endurance and persistence. The images attest that war does not end cleanly, even when it disappears from view.

This emphasis places Page's work closer to post-conflict visual politics than to conventional combat reportage. While Page certainly did not shy away from the brutality of war—and images of atrocity remain part of his oeuvre and continue to play a necessary role in understanding, documenting, and bearing witness to such violence—this current body of work nonetheless suggests the limits of a visual culture addicted to spectacular violence. It asks instead for a reorientation toward slowness, repetition, and the quiet gestures of people getting on with life despite it all.

Central to this orientation are the cinematic qualities in Page's practice. His photographs demonstrate his careful attention to composition, control of light, and deliberate use of colour. The striking saffron robes of Buddhist monks, a double rainbow over Tonle Sap, the pink umbrella of a 9th Division trooper all draw the eye in and hold it within quotidian scenes that would otherwise be passed over. However, these aesthetic decisions do not soften the realities being shown, nor do they offer beauty as consolation.

Rather, the restraint of Page's compositions narrows the distance between the image and the viewer. His photographs resist the demand for immediate comprehension that much conflict imagery trades on. They hold the gaze just long enough for questions to emerge: Who is being shown? Under what conditions? What is being asked of the audience? By refusing to collapse lives into a single emotional or moral directive, Page's images push back against the weight of representational violence that so often flattens lifeworlds into a single frame.

Contemporary audiences and commentators regularly speak of our desensitisation to images; indeed, it is a refrain as old as photography itself. This desensitisation names a kind of numbness produced by the sheer volume of violent images and news to which we are exposed. Our responses to that condition, however, are not entirely passive. This act can offer self-protection, a means of coping with the emotional weight of distant violence. Yet, as Arundhati Roy reminds us, "once you see it, you can't unsee it", and not looking is itself a political act.² Page's images acknowledge this fatigue without yielding to it. They do not demand empathy on cue, nor do they tell audiences what to feel. Their power lies in duration and repetition—in the quiet persistence of similar scenes of care, waiting, and fragile normalcy that recur across time and place.

Any photographic engagement with war or its aftermath must confront questions of authority and representation: of who gets to tell these stories and on whose terms. To photograph others living through conflict, occupation, trauma, or its aftermath is to speak about their lives without ever fully speaking for them. Page's images do not assert neutrality, nor do they claim to offer definitive accounts. He is a participant in histories he does not control, entangled in the structures of power and representation that make his work possible. The images mark encounters rather than complete accounts.

In this sense, bearing witness is relational and necessarily incomplete. It is less a claim to authority than an acceptance of responsibility: to look without appropriating, to recognise how our gaze is shaped by Western media expectations, and to allow those expectations to be disrupted. Drawing on Ariella Azoulay's understanding of photography as a shared civic space, the distance between photographer, subject, and viewer can be understood as more than just a gap to be closed, but also as a site

of ethical relations and possibility.³ Photographs go beyond recording what happened; they help shape and change how we experience it.

And while this exhibition looks back at the period in which Page worked, it also gestures forward. Just as the Vietnam War reshaped how distant others were seen, so we again find ourselves in a moment of transition in how images circulate and command attention. By focusing on what happens within conflict, rather than its eruption, Page's photographs challenge how we engage with the forever wars and genocides of today. Bearing witness here is not a label that images claim on our behalf, but an unfinished practice that we, as audiences, are invited to take up—one that is slow, open, and often uncomfortable.

Despite him having no formal training, Page's photographic practice was grounded in an understanding of what it means to be human. As observed by Roland Barthes, "Every photograph is a certificate of presence."⁴ In Page's work, that presence extends beyond the frame, encompassing relationships between the photographer, the subject, and the viewer. When we look at these images, we are not just seeing light on film; rather, we acknowledge that someone was there—that they are, or were, part of our shared world.

To look, then, is profound. Even when direct intervention is not possible, bearing witness carries meaning. Page's photographs remind us that in an age of visual excess, we still urgently need photography. Not because photographs resolve violence, but because they ask us to remain with it. And now more than ever, we need to learn how to look *with*, rather than simply look *at*.

Dr Miriam Deprez is a Meanjin/Brisbane-based academic, photojournalist, and research fellow with the Disrupting Violence Beacon at Griffith University. Her work covers Europe, the Middle East, Russia, Southeast Asia, the Pacific Islands, and Australia, where she has worked as a freelance journalist, editor, and photographer. Her research and practice focus on post-conflict environments, particularly on understanding and reducing the impacts of militarised indigenous landscapes.

¹ Robert Hariman and John Louis Lucaites, *No Caption Needed: Iconic Photographs, Public Culture, and Liberal Democracy* (Chicago and London: The University of Chicago Press, 2007), 42.

² Arundhati Roy, *Power Politics* (Boston: South End Press, 2001), 87.

³ Ariella Azoulay, *The Civil Contract of Photography* (New York: Zone Books, 2008), Ariella Azoulay, *Civil Imagination: A Political Ontology of Photography* (New York: Verso Books, 2012).

⁴ Roland Barthes, *Camera Lucida: Reflections on Photography* (New York: Hill and Wang, 1981), 7.